

Research Article

Impact of harvesting timing and drying methods on yield attributes of wheat variety tj-83

Abdul Nabi¹, Shabeer Ahmed^{1*}, Manzoor Ahmed², Muhammad Ibrahim¹, Amir Tariq¹, Jaffar Khan Bazai¹, Muhammad Hafeez³, Nazir Ahmed Alizai¹, Fahim Ahmed¹, Rehmat Ullah³, Naseer Ahmed Shahwani¹, Abdul Rouf Zehri¹ and Mitha Khan¹

1. Agriculture Research Institute (A.R.I) Sariab Quetta, Balochistan, Pakistan

2. Balochistan Agriculture College Quetta, Pakistan

3. Arid Agriculture Research Institute Quetta, Balochistan, Pakistan

*Corresponding author's email: shabeerahmed6464@gmail.com

Citation

Abdul Nabi, Shabeer Ahmed, Manzoor Ahmed, Muhammad Ibrahim, Amir Tariq, Jaffar Khan Bazai, Muhammad Hafeez, Nazir Ahmed Alizai, Fahim Ahmed, Rehmat Ullah, Naseer Ahmed Shahwani, Abdul Rouf Zehri, and Mitha Khan. Impact of harvesting timing and drying methods on yield attributes of wheat variety tj-83. Pure and Applied Biology. Vol. 12, Issue 1, pp404-413. <http://dx.doi.org/10.19045/bspab.2023.120043>

Received: 25/08/2022

Revised: 15/10/2022

Accepted: 25/10/2022

Online First: 10/11/2022

Abstract

The study was carried out during the year 2015-16 to investigate the impact of harvesting times and drying methods on yield attributes of promising wheat TJ-83. Eight parameters of economic importance were studied which included: moisture content (%), plant height (cm), grains spikes m^{-2} , spikelets spike⁻¹, spike length (cm), harvesting index (%), biological yield (kg ha⁻¹) and grain yield (kg ha⁻¹). The results showed that wheat crop harvested on 130 (DAS) resulted in maximum 11.87% moisture content, 83.33 cm plant height, 54.33 grains spikes m^{-2} , 12.11 spikelets spike⁻¹, 19.33 cm spike length, 48.18% harvest index, 9946.67 kg biological yield ha⁻¹ and 4792.00 kg grain yield ha⁻¹. In case of drying methods, bag store resulted in highest 11.78% moisture content, 81.25 cm plant height, 51.75 grains spikes m^{-2} , 10.91 spikelets spike⁻¹, 18.66 cm spike length, 47.39% harvest index, 9693.8 kg biological yield ha⁻¹ and 4453.8 kg grain yield ha⁻¹ followed by bag (open). Lease figure yield ha⁻¹ and 4372.7 kg grain yield ha⁻¹.

Keywords: Drying methods; Harvesting time; Wheat variety; Yield attributes

Introduction

Wheat is an important cereal crop throughout world harvesting is an agronomical technique fully mature harvest wheat spikes causes losses shattering of grains. Hence, it is important to harvest wheat at proper physiological maturity to avoid quantitative and qualitative losses [1]. Several models have been employed and categorized a

number of physical and physiological processes when occur during the crop yield, notably weather, soil and time management factors influenced by different characteristics of the crop [2]. Drying is a suitable method to protect the grains from bacteria and environmental factors such as temperature and relative humidity and allows the grains to ripen, leading to changes in the protein

content of the grain. As a result, most of the protein has already been deposited in the grain [3].

The time of harvest is related to such plant characteristics as stage of maturity, tendency to stiffen and split, environmental factors such as rainfall, humidity and temperature [4]. Mode and timing to harvest are likely to be controllable factors that regulate the overall yield of cereal crops. Harvesting starts at different stages of maturity in different regions of the world, depending on the degree of mechanization [5]. Hand harvesting is common in Pakistan due to certain socio-economic reasons, such as small enterprises, expensive mechanization and the fact that wheat straw is mainly needed as animal feed [6]. As the crop reaches maturity, it is subject to strangulation, rain, birds and wildlife, so germination and test weight can be adversely affected by an extremely early or late harvest, which can result in the crushing of the plump and overripe kernels [7]. Stress is one of the most important environmental factors affecting plant growth, development and production. Drought, resulting from low rainfall or high temperature, is an uneven phenomenon that affects plants differently depending on the stage of development when the drought occurs [8]. Wheat is sensitive to high temperatures during most reproductive stages on the other hand, rainfall is often limited and temperatures high during the grain-filling period, and water stress during this period is therefore one of the main production constraints in these environments [9]. Lack of water around anthesis can lead to reduced yields by reducing the number of spikes and spikelets and the fertility of the surviving spikelets, and from anthesis to maturity, particularly if accompanied by high temperatures, leaf ageing is accelerated, the duration and rate of grain filling is reduced, leading to a reduction in average kernel weight and dry matter accumulation [10].

Comparisons between favorable and high temperature field environments found more than fourfold differences in wheat yields. These differences were much greater than the yield reductions caused by high temperatures under controlled conditions [11].

In previous studies showed in the growth chamber, reduced yield was mainly attributed to lower kernel weight and only to a small extent to lower kernel number. [12] reported that the accumulation of dry matter decreased due to the reduction in the number of kernels, the green leaf surface during the grain-filling stage decrease when wheat is exposed to high temperatures the number of leaves, the kernel weight and the acceleration of leaf senescence. [13, 14]. High temperatures during kernel filling decreased wheat yield by reducing kernel weight. Maximum loss of grain by mechanical damage or incomplete threshing has been reported when grain moisture content had fallen to 15-23 percent. Delayed harvest time could increase the grain yield by 59.8 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ and also reported that kernel growth rate increased by 0.3 mg kernel⁻¹ day⁻¹ °C⁻¹ over a temperature range of 25–32 °C [15, 16]. Potential nuclear growth did not respond linearly to temperature in the 12-19°C temperature range [17]. In view of the facts stated above, the present study was planned to investigate the impact of various harvesting timing and drying method on yield attributes of wheat variety TJ-83 under agro-ecological conditions of Tandojam. (Please read underline red paragraph of the objective is looking meaningful significant keep this paragraph on yellow paragraph). Keeping in view the improper harvesting concerns and global importance of wheat crop, this study was designed with an objective to demonstrate the role of the wheat various harvesting, and drying timing method to provide the basis yield attributes for future studies regarding the under agro-ecological conditions of Tandojam.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during 2015-16 at Student's Experimental Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam, to investigate the impact of harvesting timing and drying methods on yield attributes of promising wheat TJ-83. The experiment was laid out in a three randomized complete block design (RCBD) in a plot size of $3\text{m} \times 4\text{m}$ (12m^2).

Land preparation

Initially one deep ploughing was done with disc plough followed by levelling and ploughing. The precise land levelling was done to make the soil surface levelled for uniform distribution of irrigation water. The land was ploughed by cultivator cross wise followed by planking to achieve good seed bed.

Sowing time and method

The sowing was done on 07-12-2015 at seed rate of 125 kg ha^{-1} with the help of single row hand drill. The treatment details are as under:

Factor – A (Drying Methods) = 03

M_1 = Bag (Under sun)

M_2 = Bag (Open)

M_3 = Bag (Store)

Factor – B (Harvesting Timing) = 04

T_1 = 110 (DAS)

T_2 = 120 (DAS)

T_3 = 130 (DAS)

T_4 = 140 (DAS)

Fertilizer and Irrigation application

The nitrogen fertilizer was applied in the form of urea @ 168 kg ha^{-1} and phosphorus in the form of DAP @ 84 kg ha^{-1} . All P (Di-ammonium phosphate) and $1/3^{\text{rd}}$ of N (as urea) were applied at the timing of sowing and remaining N was applied in two equal split at 1^{st} and 2^{nd} irrigations, respectively. In all five irrigations were applied as per the crop requirements.

Statistical analysis

The data thus collected was subjected to statistical analysis using MSTAT-C. The LSD test was applied to compare treatments

superiority, where necessary (Russel and Eisensmith, 1983).

Results

The study was carried out at Student's Experimental Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam during Rabi-2016, investigate the impact of harvesting timing and drying methods on yield attributes of promising wheat TJ-83. The treatments included three drying methods and four harvesting dates. The experiment was conducted in a three replicated Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with factorial arrangements having net plot size of $3 \times 4\text{m}$ (12m^2). Eight parameters of economic importance were studied which included: moisture content (%), plant height (cm), grains spikes m^{-2} , spikelets spike $^{-1}$, spike length (cm), harvest index (%), biological yield (kg ha^{-1}) and grain yield (kg ha^{-1}).

Moisture content (%)

The results in relation to moisture content of wheat as affected by different harvesting timing and drying methods are shown in (Table 1) and its analysis of variance as Appendix-I. The analysis of variance suggested significant ($P < 0.05$) effect of harvesting timing and drying methods on the moisture content, while the interaction between harvesting timing and drying methods on the moisture content was also statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).

It can be seen from the results that the moisture content was considerably higher (11.87%) when the crop was harvested on 120 (DAS) followed by 11.75% and 11.54% moisture content noted in plots harvested on 110 and 130 (DAS), respectively. However, the minimum moisture content (9.98%) was achieved in crop harvested on 140 (DAS). Drying method significantly affected moisture content of wheat variety TJ-83. The highest moisture content (11.78%) was observed in bag store drying method followed by (11.29%) in bag open. However, the lowest moisture content (10.77%) was

noted in under sun drying method. The results further showed that the interaction of 140 (DAS) \times bag (store) resulted in maximum moisture content (12.32%) and the minimum (9.36%) under the interaction of 130 (DAS) \times under sun drying method.

Plant height (cm)

The results in relation to plant height of wheat as influenced by different harvesting timing and drying methods are presented in (Table 2), and its analysis of variance is shown as Appendix-II. The analysis of variance described that the plant height was significantly ($P < 0.05$) affected by harvesting timing, drying methods and their interaction. The crop harvested on 130 (DAS) produced tallest plants (83.33 cm), followed by 120 and 110 (DAS) with average plant height of 82.77 and 81.00 cm, respectively. However, the late harvested crop on 140 (DAS) resulted in lowest plant height of 77.22 cm. Among drying methods, bag (open) received plants of maximum height (81.25 cm), followed by drying method (under sun) with 81.08 cm plant height and the minimum plant height of 80.91 cm was observed in drying method bag (store). The interactive effect showed that interaction of 130 (DAS) \times bag (open) produced maximum plant height (84.66 cm) and the lowest (75.00 cm) in the interaction of 140 (DAS) \times under sun. It was observed that delay in harvesting of wheat showed negative impact on the plant height of wheat, probably the increasing temperature resulted in reduced plant moisture and twisting of plants exposed decreased height.

Grains spikes m^{-2}

The data pertaining to number of grains spikes m^{-2} of wheat different harvesting timing and drying methods are presented in (Table 3) and its analysis of variance as Appendix-II. The analysis of variance demonstrated that the grains spikes m^{-2} was significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced by different harvesting timing and drying methods as well as their interaction.

The grains spikes m^{-2} was significantly highest (54.33) in crop harvested on 130 (DAS) followed by 49.88 and 48.44 average grains spikes m^{-2} observed in crop harvested on 120 and 110 (DAS), respectively; while the minimum grains spikes m^{-2} (42.44) was recorded in crop harvested on 140 (DAS). In case of drying method bag (store) resulted in highest grains spikes m^{-2} (51.75) followed by drying method under sun with 48.00 average grains spikes m^{-2} , while the lowest grains spikes m^{-2} (46.58) was observed in drying method bag (open). The results further showed that interaction of 130 (DAS) \times under sun drying method resulted in maximum grains spikes m^{-2} (62.33) and the minimum (38.33) in the interaction of 140 (DAS) \times bag (open). This indicates that the grains spikes m^{-2} in delayed harvesting decreased considerably; probably this reduction in the grains spikes m^{-2} may be associated with waste of mature crop due to winds, rats and other pests or by ruminants around there.

Spikelets spike⁻¹

The results related to the number of spikelets spike⁻¹ of wheat different harvesting timing and drying methods are shown in (Table 4) and its analysis of variance as Appendix-IV. The analysis of variance described that the spikelets spike⁻¹ was significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced by different harvesting timing and drying methods as well as them by interaction.

It is apparent from the results that the spikelets spike⁻¹ was relatively higher (12.11) in crop harvested on 130 (DAS) followed by 11.56 and 10.33 spikelets spike⁻¹ in crop harvested on 120 and 110 (DAS), respectively; while the minimum spikelets spike⁻¹ (10.33) was observed in crop harvested on 140 (DAS). In case of drying methods, the highest spikelets spike⁻¹ (11.41) was observed in bag (store) followed by 11.00 spikelets spike⁻¹ in under sun drying method, while the minimum spikelets spike⁻¹ (10.83) was noted in bag (open). It was

further observed that the interaction of 130 (DAS) \times bag (store) resulted in maximum spikelets spike⁻¹ (12.33) and the minimum (8.33) in the interaction of 140 (DAS) \times bag (store). The results suggested that the number of spikelets spike⁻¹ increased slightly when harvested on 130 (DAS) and the crop delayed up to 140 DAS showed negative trend on this trait. It is obvious that as the crop reaches physiological maturity, birds and other pests start feeding and there is logic of decreasing the spikelets spike⁻¹ with delay in harvesting.

Spike length (cm)

The data regards to spike length of various wheat different harvesting timing and drying methods are shown in (Table 5) and its analysis of variance as Appendix-V. The analysis of variance illustrated that the effect of harvesting timing and drying method on spike length was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) as well as their interaction.

The spike length was relatively higher (19.33 cm) in crop harvested on 130 (DAS) followed by average spike length of 18.61 and 18.11 cm noted in crop harvested on 120 and 110 (DAS), respectively. However, the minimum spike length of 17.11 cm was observed in crop harvested on 140 (DAS). Among drying methods bag (store) produced maximum spike length of 18.66 cm followed by bag (open) with spike length of 18.54 cm and the minimum spike length of 18.16 cm was noted in under sun drying method. Further it was found that the interaction of 130 (DAS) \times bag (open) recorded maximum spike length of (20.00 cm). While the minimum spike length (16.66 cm) was recorded in case of 140 (DAS) harvesting \times bag (open/store).

Harvest index (%)

The harvest index is the percentage of grain (Yield) from the biological yield ha⁻¹. The data regarding harvest index of wheat different harvesting timing and drying methods are presented in (Table 6) and its analysis of variance as Appendix-VI. Analysis of variance suggested significant

($P < 0.05$) difference in harvest index of different harvesting timing and drying methods and interaction between different harvesting timing \times drying methods.

The harvest index was relatively higher (48.18%) in plots harvested on 130 (DAS) followed by 46.29 and 45.65% harvest index achieved in plots harvested on 120 and 110 (DAS), respectively. However, the lowest harvest index (45.34%) was observed in crop harvested on 140 (DAS). The results revealed that the highest harvest index of 47.39% was noted in bag (store) followed by bag (open) with 46.60% harvest index, while the lowest harvest index (45.10%) was recorded under sun. The results further suggested that the interaction of 130 (DAS) \times bag (store) resulted in higher harvest index (49.51%). However, the interaction of 140 (DAS) \times under sun recorded lowest harvest index (43.13%).

Biological yield ha⁻¹ (kg)

The data regarding biological yield ha⁻¹ of wheat as influenced by different harvesting timing and drying methods are presented in (Table 7) and its analysis of variance as Appendix-VII. The analysis of variance demonstrated significant ($P < 0.05$) impact of different harvesting timing, drying methods and their interaction.

The biological yield ha⁻¹ was highest (9946.7 kg) in crop harvested on 130 (DAS) followed by 9906.7 kg and 9230.0 kg biological yield ha⁻¹ noted in plots harvested on 120 and 110 (DAS), respectively. However, the lowest biological yield ha⁻¹ (9055.0 kg) was noted in crop harvested on 140 (DAS). In case of drying methods, under sun resulted in highest biological yield ha⁻¹ (9693.8 kg) followed by drying method bag (open) with 9520.0 kg biological yield ha⁻¹, while the lowest biological yield ha⁻¹ (9390.0 kg) was observed in bag (store). It was noted that the interaction of 130 (DAS) \times bag (open) resulted highest biological yield ha⁻¹ (10050 kg), while the interaction between 140 (DAS)

× bag (store) recorded lowest biological yield (8540 kg).

Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)

The results in relation to grain yield ha⁻¹ of wheat as affected by different harvesting timing and drying methods are shown in (Table 8) and its analysis of variance as Appendix-VII. It can be seen from the data that harvesting timing, drying method and the interaction had significant (P<0.05) effect on the above character studied.

It was observed from the results that the grain yield ha⁻¹ was relatively higher (4792.0 kg) when the crop was harvested on 130 (DAS) followed by 4522.4 and 4272.9 kg grain yield

ha⁻¹ noted in plots harvested on 120 and 110 (DAS), respectively. However, the minimum grain yield ha⁻¹ (4098.2 kg) was achieved in crop harvested on 140 (DAS). In case of drying methods, bag (store) resulted in highest grain yield ha⁻¹ (4453.8 kg) followed by drying method bag (open) with 4437.6 kg grain yield ha⁻¹, while the lowest grain yield ha⁻¹ (4372.7 kg) was noted in drying method under sun. The results further showed that the interaction of 130 (DAS) × bag (store) resulted in maximum grain yield ha⁻¹ (4891.8 kg) and the minimum grain yield was obtained (3998.3 kg) under the interaction of 140 (DAS) × bag (store).

Table 1: Moisture content (%) as influenced by harvesting timing and drying methods

| Harvesting timing | Drying Method | | | Mean |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Under sun | Bag (Open) | Bag (Store) | |
| 110 (DAS) | 11.28 | 11.78 | 12.20 | 11.75 B |
| 120 (DAS) | 11.07 | 11.56 | 11.98 | 11.87A |
| 130 (DAS) | 9.36 | 9.94 | 10.63 | 11.54 C |
| 140 (DAS) | 11.39 | 11.89 | 12.32 | 9.98 D |
| Mean | 10.77 C | 11.29 B | 11.78 A | - |
| | Harvesting timing | | Drying method | Interaction |
| S.E.± | 8.9103 | | 7.7170 | 0.0154 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.0185 | | 0.0160 | 0.0320 |
| LSD 0.01 | 8.9103 | | 7.7170 | 0.0154 |
| CV% | 0.17 | | - | - |

Table 2: Plant height (cm) as influenced by harvesting timing and drying methods

| Harvesting timing | Drying Method | | | Mean |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Under sun | Bag (Open) | Bag (Store) | |
| 110 (DAS) | 81.00 | 82.00 | 80.00 | 83.00 B |
| 120 (DAS) | 81.66 | 82.66 | 84.00 | 83.33 A |
| 130 (DAS) | 80.66 | 84.66 | 84.66 | 82.77 C |
| 140 (DAS) | 80.33 | 75.00 | 76.33 | 81.90 D |
| Mean | 81.08 AB | 81.25 A | 80.91 B | - |
| | Harvesting timing | | Drying method | Interaction |
| S.E.± | 1.4811 | | 1.2827 | 2.5653 |
| LSD 0.05 | 3.0716 | | 2.6601 | 5.3201 |
| LSD 0.01 | 4.1748 | | 3.6155 | 7.2310 |
| CV% | 3.87 | | - | - |

Table 3: Grain spike (m²) as influenced by harvesting timing and drying methods

| Harvesting timing | Drying Method | | | Mean |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Under sun | Bag (Open) | Bag (Store) | |
| 110 (DAS) | 41.00 | 48.33 | 56.00 | 48.44 AB |
| 120 (DAS) | 47.33 | 51.00 | 51.33 | 49.88 AB |
| 130 (DAS) | 62.33 | 48.67 | 52.00 | 54.33 A |
| 140 (DAS) | 41.33 | 38.33 | 47.66 | 42.44 B |
| Mean | 48.00 B | 46.58 C | 51.75 A | - |
| | Harvesting timing | Drying method | | Interaction |
| S.E.± | 4.3348 | 3.7541 | | 7.5081 |
| LSD 0.05 | 8.9899 | 7.7855 | | 15.571 |
| LSD 0.01 | 12.219 | 10.582 | | 21.164 |
| CV% | 18.85 | - | | - |

Table 4: Spikelets spike⁻¹ as influenced by harvesting timing and drying methods

| Harvesting timing | Drying Method | | | Mean |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Under sun | Bag (Open) | Bag (Store) | |
| 110 (DAS) | 10.33 | 10.00 | 10.66 | 10.33 C |
| 120 (DAS) | 11.00 | 11.33 | 12.33 | 11.56 B |
| 130 (DAS) | 12.33 | 11.66 | 12.33 | 12.11 A |
| 140 (DAS) | 9.13 | 9.33 | 8.33 | 8.93 D |
| Mean | 10.69 AB | 10.58 AB | 10.91 A | - |
| | Harvesting timing | Drying method | | Interaction |
| S.E.± | 0.7599 | 0.6581 | | 1.3162 |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.5759 | 1.3648 | | 2.7296 |
| LSD 0.01 | 2.1420 | 1.8550 | | 3.7100 |
| CV% | 14.54 | - | | - |

Table 5: Spike length (cm) as influenced by harvesting timing and drying methods

| Harvesting timing | Drying Method | | | Mean |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Under sun | Bag (Open) | Bag (Store) | |
| 110 (DAS) | 17.33 | 17.66 | 19.33 | 18.11 C |
| 120 (DAS) | 19.33 | 18.84 | 17.66 | 18.61 B |
| 130 (DAS) | 18.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 19.33 A |
| 140 (DAS) | 18.00 | 16.66 | 16.66 | 17.11 D |
| Mean | 18.16 C | 18.54 B | 18.66 A | - |
| | Harvesting timing | Drying method | Interaction | |
| S.E.± | 1.1244 | 0.9738 | 1.9475 | |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.3319 | 2.0195 | 4.0390 | |
| LSD 0.01 | 3.1695 | 2.7448 | 5.4897 | |
| CV% | 13.13 | - | - | |

Table 6: Harvest index (%) as influenced by harvesting timing and drying methods

| Harvesting timing | Drying Method | | | Mean |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Under sun | Bag (Open) | Bag (Store) | |
| 110 (DAS) | 44.46 | 45.72 | 46.77 | 45.65 C |
| 120 (DAS) | 45.48 | 46.91 | 46.48 | 46.29 B |
| 130 (DAS) | 47.34 | 47.68 | 49.51 | 48.18 A |
| 140 (DAS) | 43.13 | 46.09 | 46.82 | 45.34 D |
| Mean | 45.10 C | 46.60 B | 47.39 A | - |
| | Harvesting timing | Drying method | | Interaction |
| S.E.± | 2.17803 | 1.88603 | | 3.77203 |
| LSD 0.05 | 4.51703 | 3.91203 | | 7.82303 |
| LSD 0.01 | 2.17803 | 1.88603 | | 3.77203 |
| CV% | 0.01 | - | | - |

Table 7: Biological yield (kg ha⁻¹) as influenced by harvesting timing and drying methods

| Harvesting timing | Drying Method | | | Mean |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Under sun | Bag (Open) | Bag (Store) | |
| 110 (DAS) | 9240 | 9150 | 9300 | 9230.0 C |
| 120 (DAS) | 9880 | 10000 | 9840 | 9906.7 B |
| 130 (DAS) | 9910 | 10050 | 9880 | 9946.7 A |
| 140 (DAS) | 9745 | 8880 | 8540 | 9055.0 D |
| Mean | 9693.8 A | 9520.0 B | 9390.0 C | - |
| | Harvesting time | Drying method | Interaction | |
| S.E.± | 2.3384 | 2.0251 | 4.0503 | |
| LSD 0.05 | 4.8496 | 4.1999 | 8.3997 | |
| LSD 0.01 | 6.5914 | 5.7084 | 11.417 | |
| CV% | 0.05 | - | - | |

Table 8: Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) as influenced by harvesting timing and drying methods

| Harvesting timing | Drying Method | | | Mean |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Under sun | Bag (Open) | Bag (Store) | |
| 110 (DAS) | 4203.0 | 4292.8 | 4322.8 | 4272.9 C |
| 120 (DAS) | 4392.7 | 4572.4 | 4602.3 | 4522.4 B |
| 130 (DAS) | 4692.2 | 4792.0 | 4891.8 | 4792.0 A |
| 140 (DAS) | 4203.0 | 4093.2 | 3998.3 | 4098.2 D |
| Mean | 4372.7 A | 4437.6 B | 4453.8 C | - |
| | Harvesting timing | Drying method | Interaction | |
| S.E.± | 1.0297 | 0.8917 | 1.7835 | |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.1354 | 1.8493 | 3.6987 | |
| LSD 0.01 | 2.9024 | 2.5136 | 5.0272 | |
| CV% | 0.05 | - | - | |

Discussion

Generally, the wheat is harvested when it is apparently looks full mature indicating complete dry spikes. However, studies indicate that harvesting over-mature wheat causes losses due to shattering of grains and

also delays the sowing of subsequent crop to be planted after wheat harvest [18]. The present study was therefore, carried out to investigate the impact of various harvesting timing and drying method on yield attributes of wheat variety TJ-83 under [19] agro-

ecological conditions of Tandojam. The present study showed that the crop harvested on 130 (DAS) showed maximum plant height 82.77 cm plant height, 49.88 grains spikes m^{-2} , 11.56 spikelets spike $^{-1}$, 19.33 cm spike length, 48.18% harvest index, 9946.7 kg biological yield ha^{-1} and 4792.0 kg grain yield ha^{-1} ; while 120, 110 and 140 (DAS) harvested wheat showed gradual reduction in values for almost all the traits examined. The delay in harvesting up to 140 DAS caused a marginal decrease in grain yield ha^{-1} , which was mainly associated with decreased foliage weight with increasing temperature in April. Drying methods bag (store) performed superiorly with higher grain yield ha^{-1} as compared to other tested drying methods, but harvest index was better in drying methods. The results of the present study are in line with those who reported that the grain yields for all cv. were reduced by 10% on average when harvesting was delayed by 21 days over the 3-yr period. The results of the present study are fully supported who reported that grain yields of Egret and 70W 18-14 wheat decreased with delayed harvest in 1979 and 1980, the rate of decrease being (%/d) 0.53 and 0.49, respectively, for Egret, and 0.53 and 0.18 for 70W 18-14. In 1981, harvested grain yields for Egret and Madden wheat did not change significantly when harvesting was delayed up to 63d. Reported that the overall, delaying harvest of winter wheat in the southeast reduced yield, test weight, and negatively impacted milling and baking quality factors related to carbohydrate levels in the grain. These results are in contrast with those who reported that delayed harvest time could increase the grain yield of wheat whereas found that grain yield was reduced an average of 25% compared with the full-season check when plants were harvested at the joint stage and resulted in insignificant losses in grain yield. Suggested that kernel growth rate may be directly influenced by temperature.

Concluded that when harvest time of two wheat varieties delays 5 days, it causes 0.4-0.9 % increase in insect pest attack on seeds in natural conditions; while when harvesting time of both wheat varieties delays 5 days, it caused 1.0-7.7 % pest sucking rate increase on seeds.

Conclusion and Suggestions

After going through the results of the present study, it was concluded that harvesting on 130 DAS proved to be superior in all agronomical parameters followed by 120 and 110 DAS. Delayed harvesting (140 DAS) showed negative impact on yield and yield contributing parameters. In case of drying methods, bag (store) is suitable for getting more grain yield ($kg\ ha^{-1}$) in wheat crop instead of bag (open) and under sun. On the basis of present results, it is recommended that wheat crop will be harvested after 130 days of sowing for achieving maximum grain yield $kg\ ha^{-1}$. Bag (store) is suitable drying method for getting more grain yield ($kg\ ha^{-1}$) in wheat crop as compared to other drying methods.

Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: A Nabi & S Ahmed, Performed the experiments: A Nabi & S Ahmed, Analysed the data: M Ahmed, contributed reagents/materials/ analysis tools: NA Alizai, M Ibrahim & M Ahmed, Wrote the paper: A Nabi & S Ahmed.

References.

1. Heineck, GC, Schlautman, B, Law, E.P, Ryan, MR, Zimbric JW, Picasso, V, Stoltenberg, DE, Sheaffer CC & Jungers JM (2022). Intermediate wheatgrass seed size and moisture dynamics inform grain harvest timing. *Crop Sci* 62: 410-424.
2. Kindu G, Mohammed A, Alam JB, Gobezie T & Faisal AA (2022). Assessment of Projected Climate Change Impact on Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Production with Coping Strategies at Jamma Wereda, Ethiopia. *Earth Syst Environ*.
3. Coradi PC, Nunes MT, Bellochio SDC, Camilo LJ & Teodoro PE (2022). Effects of

- drying temperatures and storage conditions on the levels of lipids and starches in corn grains for yield ethanol industry. *Biofu* 13: 745–754.
4. Shimoda S, Terasawa Y & Nishio Z (2022). Improving wheat productivity reveals an emerging yield gap associated with short-term change in atmospheric humidity. *Agric and Forest Meteorol* 312: 108710.
 5. Fuks D, Lister DL, Distelfeld A & Marom N (2022). A Time to Sow, a Time to Reap: Modifications to Biological and Economic Rhythms in Southwest Asian Plant and Animal Domestication. *Agro* 12: 1368.
 6. Sharma A, Singh G & Arya SK (2020). Biofuel from rice straw. *J of Cle Prod* 277: 124101.
 7. Yasin M, Wakil W, Ali K, Ijaz M, Hanif S, Ali L, Atif HM & Ahmad S (2019). Postharvest Technologies for Major Agronomic Crops, in: Hasanuzzaman, M (Ed), *Agronomic Crops: Volume 1: Production Technologies*. Springer, Singapore. pp. 679–710.
 8. Sein ZMM, Zhi X, Ogou, FK, Nooni IK, Lim Kam Sian KTC & Gnitou GT (2021). Spatio-Temporal Analysis of Drought Variability in Myanmar Based on the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) and Its Impact on Crop Production. *Agro* 11: 1691.
 9. Ogunkanmi L, MacCarthy DS & Adiku SGK (2022). Impact of Extreme Temperature and Soil Water Stress on the Growth and Yield of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). *Agric* 12: 43.
 10. Du X, Gao Z, Sun X, Bian D, Ren J, Yan P & Cui Y (2022). Increasing temperature during early spring increases winter wheat grain yield by advancing phenology and mitigating leaf senescence. *Sci of the Total Environ* 812: 152557.
 11. El Haddad N, Choukri H, Ghanem ME, Smouni A, Mentag R, Rajendran K, Hejjaoui K, Maalouf F & Kumar S (2022). High-Temperature and Drought Stress Effects on Growth, Yield and Nutritional Quality with Transpiration Response to Vapor Pressure Deficit in Lentil. *Plants* 11: 95.
 12. Wada H, Chang FY, Hatakeyama Y, Erra-Balsells R, Araki T, Nakano H & Nonami H (2021). Endosperm cell size reduction caused by osmotic adjustment during nighttime warming in rice. *Sci Rep* 11: 4447.
 13. Ren B, Yu W, Liu P, Zhao, B & Zhang J (2022). Responses of photosynthetic characteristics and leaf senescence in summer maize to simultaneous stresses of waterlogging and shading. *The Crop J*.
 14. Laddomada B, Blanco A, Mita GD Amico L, Singh, R.P, Ammar K, Crossa J & Guzmán C (2021). Drought and Heat Stress Impacts on Phenolic Acids Accumulation in Durum Wheat Cultivars. *Foods* 10: 2142.
 15. Huang ZF, Hou LY, Xue J, Wang KR, Xie RZ, Hou P, Ming B & Li SK (2021). The variability of maize kernel drying: sowing date, harvest scenario and year. *The J of Agric Sci* 159: 535-543.
 16. Wang Y, Sheng D, Zhang P, Dong X, Yan Y, Hou X, Wang P & Huang S (2021). High temperature sensitivity of kernel formation in different short periods around silking in maize. *Environ and Exper Bot* 183: 104343.
 17. Girousse C, Inchboard L, Deswarte JC & Chenu K (2021). How does post-flowering heat impact grain growth and its determining processes in wheat. *J of Exp Bot* 72: 6596–6610.
 18. Fuller DQ, Allaby RG & Stevens C (2010). Domestication as innovation: the entanglement of techniques, technology and chance in the domestication of cereal crops. *World Archaeol* 42(1): 13-28.
 19. Farooq M, Khan I, Ahmed S, Ilyas N, Saboor A, Bakhtiar M & Khan AY (2018). Agronomical efficiency of two Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Varieties against different level of Nitrogen fertilizer in Subtropical region of Pakistan. *Int J Environ Agric Res* 4(4): 28-36.